OFFICIAL FILE

HIGRSTEIN REBUTTAL

aty of Estin Exhibit No. 7.0

Witness

book is full of examples of this strategy being used. We fully expected an exaggerated and

inflated value to be presented in our case and in the media..

A.

However, we are confident that the proposed valuation on which all of Illinois American's restimony is based is not what will be upheld in court. The special use valuation method used does not conform at all to the valuations used in American's recent acquisitions of systems in Illinois. Joe Conner admitted in his press release, that the company did not use Replacement Cost less Depreciation in those purchases, and noted to the area media that those purchases involved "a willing buyer and a willing seller." That consideration, and that standard, are consistent with Mr. Reilly's (Illinois-American witness) testimony of the standard in value in an Illinois condemnation. The task force used Illinois-American numbers, real numbers, and it was intentionally conservative with them. The bottom line benefit results from the fact, as they indicated, that the city doesn't have to pay profits, doesn't have to pay income taxes, can tap into various funding sources unavailable to private enterprise, and need not provide a return on its capital investments. All this was recognized and set forth by the task force and remains a solid foundation for acquisition today.

Q. HOW ABOUT MR. RUCKMAN'S OTHER SPECIFIC ADJUSTMENTS?

I strongly disagree with Mr. Ruckman's statement that the base-year manpower costs need to be adjusted upward by \$160,000 to reflect five additional employees needed to operate the Pekin system. The Task Force study noted synergies between operation of water and wastewater systems that would likely reduce manpower requirements. However, those reductions were not programmed into the pro forma statement, because the group wanted their projections to be conservative. Ibelieve that the only long term change to maintenance staffing requirements would be a reduction, until there are significant increases in customer

HIERSTEIN REBUTTAL

.:21

A.

detail, I wanted to address it from the perspective of the City's experience with compliance issues. Every water supply has measurable quantities of elements that must be monitored. Many of these elements, such as radon and nitrates, occur naturally. It is only when their levels reach certain standards that they become hazardous. Even then, the methods of dealing with the pollutants have general applications. There is standard equipment and training to deal with those problems. We deal with those same types of standards on the wastewater side, as they do on the water side. Our contractor's employees have to do the same type of monitoring and reporting as water system employees. We deal with the same regulatory agencies, and the other state and federal rules just as does Illinois-American.

- Q. MR. RUCKMAN ALLEGES THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT BENEFITS TO REGIONAL CUSTOMER SERVICE, AS OPPOSED TO LOCAL SERVICE. DO YOU AGREE?
 - I take serious issue with this unsubstantiated claim. We have heard from several customers who were placed on hold for over half an hour and gave up when they needed service. Our Fire Department, as indicated in our direct testimony, has suffered that kind of delay during emergency operations. Not only has the service center not been staffed at a level that would allow for prompt answering of calls, but it also suffers from the significant disadvantage of personnel who have no direct knowledge of the area where service is needed. It is also taking jobs out of the Pekin economy to the detriment of our community. Also, no one from Illinois-American addressed the other enormous local benefits. These include the synergistic, or holistic benefits from being able to negotiate with developers and large industrial customers on a wide range of matters, including tax incentives, property incentives, and utility service rates, all without the inclusion of a third party. There are time limit benefits,

HIERSTEIN REBUTTAL

.6 /	campaign, a pittance compared to what Illinois-American spent to get its message across.
268	The voters knew what was at stake, and what was involved, and no amount of dissembling
269	about how there had been no sale to RWE, could hide the truth.
270	The Pekin community is overwhelmingly behind acquisition of the water company.
271	As to Mr. Hillen's comments about the Task Force, Illinois-American was allowed to attend
272	many of the meetings, and in fact attended a majority of them. Only portions of a few
273	meetings were closed when the valuation issues were being reviewed. Subsequently, they
274	were specifically asked to review and critique the pro forma study prepared, and had only
275	limited comments, that I have previously touched upon.
276	As for his suggestions of my control and manipulation, or other City employees that
277	campaigned significantly, he is simply wrong.
278	It was a hotly contested issue, the City was outspent exponentially, the public was aware of
279	the issues and the facts, despite Illinois-American distortion, and they overwhelmingly are
280	in support of the City's efforts to acquire their future by acquiring the City's water system.
281	I think the voters ultimately understood that unless the City acquired the system, Pekin's
282	water would be a small part in the corporate games and strategies of global corporations like
283	Thames and RWE. The public is sophisticated enough to see through statements from
284	Illinois-American like "there has been no change, and no sale". There has been enough
285	corporate news coverage in the past several years to justify the Pekin public's decision that
286	they didn't want to be a pawn in global water games. The stakes for their future, and their
287	present, are too great, and I think they saw that.
288	Moreover, the bottom lines favor acquisition, as was made readily apparent in the elections.
_:89	Monies that are now going to profits, to New York, or England or Germany, will stay here

HERSTEIN REBUTTAL

	in Pekin. Taxes will not have to be paid, and the combination of the two will allow for
	purchase of the system and substantial and critical reinvestment back into the system that is
	not now occurring. In addition, jobs will stay here and be a part of the community. The City
	did not gain over 60% support of its people on this issue because of any lack of
	understanding. The City gained the support because the population realized it was in their
	best interest to acquire the water system.
Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER TESTIMONY?
A.	No.